

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_128160
Title of the Manuscript:	Potential Effect of Goji Berry (Lycium barbarum) Extract on Skin Photoaging: A Literature Review
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is significant for the scientific community as it addresses photoaging, a critical concern in sun-exposed regions like Indonesia. It offers promising insights into mitigating UV-induced skin damage by highlighting the antioxidant properties of goji berry as a complementary photoprotection strategy to sunscreens. The review underscores the potential of goji berry in combating oxidative stress and emphasizes the need for further research to optimize its application and dosage for effective anti-photoaging solutions.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, the abstract of the article is fully comprehensive.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes the manuscript scientifically is correct.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient and recent enough.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is OK, but some slight technical corrections on the manuscript should be done.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The article meets modern requirements for scientific work and can be published	

#### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Alexandru Mirza
Department, University & Country	Moldova State University, Republic of Moldova