

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_128130
Title of the Manuscript:	LESSON LEARNED FROM POST COVID-19 PUBLIC PERCEPTION AND HYGIENIC BEHAVIOUR IN ZANZIBAR IN RELATION TO FUTURE PANDEMIC
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript . It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>I would like to request publisher/editor to consider this paper for publication</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cutting edge research (defined absolutely) • Need of the hour • It's partly scientific 	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>Suitable</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>No such</p>	
<p>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</p>	<p>Objectives, Research Gap, Review of Literature missing</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>It is partly robust and scientific due to (GO FOR EMPIRICAL PAPER)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Statistical tool such as (regression or chi square is not used) which are most preferred tool for checking relationship between two variables • Respondents shall be people who are suffered from it 	
<p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>No such</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>YES</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shankar Guddad
Department, University & Country	M S Ramaiah College of Arts, Science and Commerce, India