

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_128130
Title of the Manuscript:	LESSON LEARNED FROM POST COVID-19 PUBLIC PERCEPTION AND HYGIENIC BEHAVIOUR IN ZANZIBAR IN RELATION TO FUTURE PANDEMIC
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The title of the manuscript is very interesting. If the Authors wants to generalize the result, He/she may do the comparative study of different location. However, The authors also use broader prospect that would help the future researcher.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	<b>Post Covid-19 Hygienic Behavior and Public Perception in Zanzibar in Relation to Future Pandemic</b>	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	In abstract there no implication and originality of the paper. Please write the implication and originality of the research. Another one, the authors need to summarize the finding area and present the exact information in the abstract.	
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	From my perception, the author needs to study more literature to support the result of the manuscript. In the methodology part, he/she need to more specify the method of the study(Qualitative/quantitative), sampling technique, and data collection tools and technique	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	The references are insufficient and missing; I suggest adding more recent literature that is justified for the study. The low literature backup/ insufficient information may hamper the goal of the study.	
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	There is some grammatically error. So please read the manuscript more carefully.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

#### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Samir Bhadra</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Rajshahi, Bangladesh</b>