

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_128020
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARATIVE STUDY BETWEEN CONVENTIONAL MOLDING AND SCANNING IN FIXED PROSTHESIS: a narrative review
Type of the Article	Review Article

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>		
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>		
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>		
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>		
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>		

**Review Form 3**

<p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p><b>the notes of the review:</b></p> <p>1-The background of this manuscript is unclearly, there is no problem statement in Introduction -</p> <p>2-The objectives of the study are not clearly stated. While the text highlights the difficulties in conventional molding briefly and did not explain the difficulties in scanning , it does not specify what this study aims to achieve</p> <p>3-The introduction touches upon the old types of conventional molding and scanning but does not clearly define the problem being addressed by the study. It is unclear whether the issue is a lack of research on benefits of conventional and digital scanning</p> <p>4-this manuscript lacks the methods how to measure/evaluate outcomes or the comparison between the conventional and digital</p> <p>5-The authors should explain the method of filtration the paper and the causes of removal and choosing paper.</p> <p>6-Discussion section is a brief recap of key results, and there is no explanation why is this result and according to what issues the digital preferred over conventional</p> <p>7-What are the limitations of this study? Should be write in discussion section</p> <p>8-The section briefly touches on future research .</p> <p>9-There is little discussion on how the findings will impact clinical practice. A section explicitly addressing this would strengthen the conclusion.</p> <p>10-There is some inconsistency in the formatting of the references. Follow the author guidelines and there is outdated references</p> <p>my opinion is to do the corrections before and further reviewing the paper again</p>	

**PART 2:**

	<p><b>Reviewer's comment</b></p>	<p><b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Reviewer Details:**

<p>Name:</p>	<p><b>Mohamed Qasem</b></p>
<p>Department, University &amp; Country</p>	<p><b>Canadian University, Giza, Egypt</b></p>