

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMCS_128911
Title of the Manuscript:	A Comparative Study of Service Mesh Implementations in Kubernetes For Multi-Cluster Management
Type of the Article	Networks

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	- Abstract: The abstract is concise but could be improved by including specific results or findings from the study. - Figures and Tables: Including figures and tables to illustrate the data and results would enhance the readability and impact of the paper. - References: Ensure that all references are up-to-date and relevant to the study. Some references appear to be quite recent, which is good, but a mix of foundational and recent works would provide a more balanced perspective.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes comprehensive	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes scientifically	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes the references sufficient and recent	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes the language suitable for scholarly communications	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Zahraa A. Jaaz
Department, University & Country	Al-Nahrain University, Iraq