

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_128084
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of the microbial load and antibiotic resistance profile of Clostridium perfringens isolates from local corn flour for infants sold at markets in the city of Daloa (Haut-Sassandra, Côte d'Ivoire)
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This study assess the presence and antimicrobial resistance profile of Clostridium perfringens-contaminated cornflour a serious public health problem	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Suitable	

Review Form 3

Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The aim of the study in line 4/5/6 of the abstract need to be edited to mach the actual study aim written by the end of the introduction (This study assess the presence and antimicrobial resistance profile of Clostridium perfringens-contaminated cornflour) Also conclusion part need to be rewritten.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Correct	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Need to be reviewed for some grammatical mistakes	
Optional/General comments	Conclusion need to be rewritten and to focus only on the study finding Also edit the conclusion part in the abstract Line 1-8 of the conclusion is regarding the source of contamination (production -manufacturing and whole supply chai...) this part need to be omitted since the samples were collected solely from markets so no need to include any other part of the supply chain I suggest ti keep line 9-etc only. With focus on the antimicrobial profile	

PART 2:

	<u>Reviewer's comment</u>	<u>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</u>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lina Eltaib
Department, University & Country	Northern Borders University, Saudi Arabia