

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Microbiology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_128002
Title of the Manuscript:	“Global Perspectives on Food Safety and Quality Control Standards: A Comprehensive Review”
Type of the Article	Review Article

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	This manuscript provides a thorough examination of global food safety and quality control standards, which is essential for advancing knowledge in public health and food technology. By addressing key frameworks like HACCP, GMP, and ISO standards, the review offers practical insights for researchers, policymakers, and industry professionals. Its emphasis on emerging challenges and technological innovations makes it a timely contribution to the field.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	Yes, the title is appropriate and aligns with the manuscript's content. No changes are needed.	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	The abstract is well-written, covering the manuscript's scope, methodologies, and significance. However, consider adding a brief mention of the manuscript's conclusions to provide a more complete overview.	
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	Yes, the manuscript is scientifically robust, with accurate and well-supported discussions. It effectively integrates references and examples to substantiate its claims.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	The references are comprehensive and relevant. While most are recent, including more citations from 2023-2024 could enhance the manuscript's relevance. Consider adding references related to the impact of climate change and food safety, as this is an emerging concern discussed in the review.	
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The language is clear and suitable for scholarly communication. Minor proofreading for grammatical consistency and clarity is recommended.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The figures and tables are well-integrated but could benefit from clearer labels and citations within the text. For example, ensure that all figures are explicitly referenced and described in the relevant sections.	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

<b>Name:</b>	<b>Yashwant Kumar Patel</b>
<b>Department, University &amp; Country</b>	<b>Atal Bihari Vajpayee Vishwavidyalaya, India</b>