

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAERI_128831
Title of the Manuscript:	PLANT-DERIVED PEST CONTROL: MOLECULAR, ECOLOGICAL & TECHNOLOGICAL PERSPECTIVES
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript actively responds to the growing demand for environmentally friendly pest management measures that address global food security challenges. It also provides a comprehensive framework for advancing sustainable agriculture using plant derived pest by combining molecular, ecological interactions, and cutting-edge technologies. In summary, this work offers valuable guidance for researchers, policymakers, and practitioners in developing eco-friendly and cost-effective pest management strategies.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title is well-suited as it effectively reflects the manuscript's focus on sustainable pest management and covers the different dimensions of plant-derived pest control, including molecular, ecological, and technological perspectives.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, this abstract is comprehensive enough as it effectively summarizes the key aspects of the manuscript.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct, as it contains accurate, well-supported findings, and uses appropriate methodologies to address its objectives.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, the references are sufficient and recent, as they include relevant and up-to-date sources that support the manuscript's claims and findings.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the language quality of the article is appropriate for scholarly communication, as it is clear, concise, and adheres to the standards expected in academic writing.	
Optional/General comments	No, I don't find any ethical issues in this manuscript. The manuscript has no competing interest issues.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sanjay Saha Sonet
Department, University & Country	Noakhali Science and Technology University, Bangladesh