

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Microbiology Research Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_129582
Title of the Manuscript:	Formulation of foxtail millet probiotic powder enriched with high protein and fibre
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Nutraceutical benefit	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is ok.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	<p>Manuscript is scientifically correct, but some question has arisen due to lack of clarity.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Introduction should be more elaborately mentioned and focus on the beneficial activities of the mentioned nutritional food. 2. Generally autoclaving chia seeds, destroys the nutritional activities. Then, how come autoclaved chia seeds add nutritional value to probiotic containing millet flour. 3. The authors are being requested to add some assays that will focus on the functional activities of this probiotic based millet flour. 4. Lastly, authors should keep 2 added groups (probiotic based millet flour+ chia seeds only), (probiotic based millet flour+ WPC only). This would make sure that increased fibre and protein content is due to which of the following nutritional food. 5. All figure legends should be described properly 6. Before marketing, the product should be validated in <i>in vitro</i>, cell-based state and <i>in-vivo</i> state 	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient and recent	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The quality of English language is ok.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Authors should clarify some portions of the work, mentioned in the manuscript.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	<u>Reviewer's comment</u>	<u>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</u>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

<u>Name:</u>	<u>Ena Ray Banerjee</u>
<u>Department, University & Country</u>	<u>University of Calcutta, India</u>