

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_129658
Title of the Manuscript:	Correlation and Path coefficient Studies of Yield and its Contributing traits in Groundnut (<i>Arachis hypogea</i> L.)
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	1- Yes, the article is interesting because it provides valuable information on the genetic and agronomic variability that influences the yield of groundnut, which is crucial to improving the yield and quality of the crop. Indeed, the study's 'in-depth approach' identified the key features to prioritize to increase productivity. In addition, the use of genetically diverse parents generates genetic variation allowing for successful selection of genotypes, and thus improve the effectiveness of the latter in the context of the breeding programmes. The results have practical implications for breeding programmes by identifying high performing genotypes and important traits. Overall, the study makes a significant contribution to ongoing efforts to improve groundnut productivity and is a valuable resource for researchers and agronomists.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	2- Yes, the title is appropriate	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	3- Yes, it's correct and well written	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	4- Yes, except that the manuscript lacks any real discussion	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	5-yes, the references are recent	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	6-Yes, the quality of the language is appropriate	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Doumbia Khady
Department, University & Country	University Nangui Abrogoua, Côte D'ivoire