

Effect of Natural, Organic Farming and Inorganic Farming practices on Microbial Activity at Rhizosphere in Cotton + Greengram Intercropping System

ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted during the *kharif* season 2021-22 at Natural Farming Project plot - E 131, Main Agricultural Research Station, UAS, Dharwad on clayey soils to study the effect of different farming practices and foliar application of different organic liquid manures on soil microbial activity of cotton + greengram rhizosphere. The results revealed that maximum number of microbial populations *viz.*, Bacteria ($18.50, 59.22$ and 33.83×10^5 cfug soil⁻¹ (cfu {colony forming unit}), fungi ($4.67, 4.61$ and 3.67×10^4 cfug soil⁻¹), actinomycetes ($11.72, 9.61$ and 7.17×10^3 cfug soil⁻¹), N₂ fixers ($12.61, 19.61$ and 17.44×10^5 cfug soil⁻¹) and PSM'S ($6.94, 14.83$ and 13.11×10^5 cfug soil⁻¹) at harvest stage of greengram, at 90 DAS and harvest stage of cotton was significantly found in organic farming practices. Among liquid organic manures, foliar application of *Jeevamrutha* @ 100 % recorded higher number of bacteria ($21.17, 61.67$ and 35.83×10^5 cfug soil⁻¹), fungi ($5.17, 6.17$ and 5.17×10^4 cfug soil⁻¹), actinomycetes ($12.83, 10.50$ and 7.00×10^3 cfug soil⁻¹), N₂ fixers ($15.67, 21.33$ and 18.50×10^5 cfug soil⁻¹) and PSM'S ($8.50, 17.00$ and 15.17×10^5 cfug soil⁻¹) at harvest stage of green gram, 90 DAS and harvest stage of cotton. When compared with RPP, foliar application of *Jeevamrutha* @ 100 % under organic farming practices recorded higher number of bacteria ($26.33, 64.00$ and 36.33×10^5 cfug soil⁻¹), fungi ($5.67, 6.67$ and 5.67×10^4 cfug soil⁻¹), actinomycetes ($13.67, 11.00$ and 8.00×10^3 cfug soil⁻¹) and PSM'S ($9.33, 18.00$ and 16.33×10^5 cfug soil⁻¹) at harvest stage of greengram, at 90 DAS and harvest stage of cotton than all other treatments. Foliar application of vermiwash @ 20% recorded highest N₂ fixers (23.67 and 19.67×10^5 cfug soil⁻¹) at 90 DAS and harvest stage of cotton.

Key words: Actinomycetes, bacteria, fungi, organic, microorganisms and natural farming practices.

INTRODUCTION

Cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum*) is one of the most widely cultivated and economically important crops globally, providing a vital source of fiber, food, and livelihood for millions of people. As the world's leading natural fiber crop, cotton accounts for approximately 25% of the world's total fiber production, with over 20 million tons of cotton produced annually. Cotton is grown in over 100 countries, with the top producers being China, India, the United States, Pakistan, and Brazil. Despite its importance, cotton production faces numerous challenges, including water scarcity, soil degradation, pests, and diseases by Sattaret *al.* (2013)^[13].

The primary cause for falling cotton productivity was farmers' inappropriate and inconsistent usage of fertilisers. Additionally, because of some unavoidable losses after soil application, nutrient efficiency is diminishing. Farmers' ignorance of the timing and dosages of nutrient supplements results in an inadequate production and barren land. Natural farming, practised by Subhash Palekar, plays a significant part in enhancing the quality of the environment and the health of the land. *Beejamrutha*, *Jeevamrutha*, Mulching, and Whaapsa

are four wheels of natural farming practices that play a significant role in raising crop output through increased activity of soil microflora and fauna, the use of fermented liquid organics formulations helps to promote soil microbial population, increases resistance to nematodes, illnesses, and pests, decreases evaporation loss, and improves water use efficiency.

Numerous beneficial microorganisms, including fungi, bacteria, actinomycetes, and some micronutrients, can be found in *panchagavya* (Somasundaram and Amanullah, 2007)^[14]. The mixture acts as a tonic to improve the soil and encourage plant vigour with high-quality output. Fungi, bacteria, *Lactobacillus*, total anaerobes, acid formers, and methanogens are among the many microbes found in *panchagavya*. According to Jaya Kumar *et al.* (2017)^[8] organic priming techniques, particularly *panchagavya*, significantly differed from controls in terms of cotton seedling germination, length, fresh weight, dry weight and vigour index. Vermiwash application into the soil has a substantial impact on the biogeochemical cycles of nitrogen and phosphorus (Chattopadhyay, 2015)^[5] and was helpful in boosting soil fertility quickly (Yadav and Mowade, 2004)^[16]. By adopting natural and organic farming practices, farmers can improve soil fertility, increase crop yields and enhance ecosystem resilience. This study aims to investigate the effect of natural, organic and inorganic nutrient management on soil microbial activity.

Materials and methods

A field experiment was carried out at Natural farming project plot No. E-131, at Main Agricultural Research Station, Dharwad, Karnataka, India during *Kharif* season 2021-22. The soil was black clay in texture having pH (7.64, 7.67 and 7.79), electrical conductivity of (0.20, 0.23 and 0.25 dSm⁻¹), soil organic carbon content (5.5, 6.0 and 5.2 g kg⁻¹), low in available nitrogen (186.60, 214.00 and 222.00 kg ha⁻¹), medium in available P₂ O₅ (35.10, 44.00 and 40.00 kg ha⁻¹) and high in available K₂ O (400, 420.00 and 440.00 kg ha⁻¹) and metallic micro nutrients Fe (2.80, 3.30 and 3.10 ppm), Mn (3.68, 4.76 and 4.21 ppm), Zn (0.80, 1.00 and 0.90 ppm), Cu (0.89, 1.05 and 0.92 ppm) in natural, organic farming and inorganic farming plots respectively. The experiment was laid out in splitplot design consisting of two main plots consisting of Natural farming (NF) and Organic farming (OF), six sub plot treatments (*Cow urine* (CU) @ 50 %, *Jeevamrutha* (JM) @ 100 %, Vermiwash (VW) @ 10 %, *Gokrupamrutha* (GK) @ 20 %, VW- CU- JM- GK- VW at 21, 42, 63, 84, 105 and 126 DAS (6 times) in cotton and 3 times in greengram. Foliar application of *Jeevamrutha* as per ZBNF recommendations (5 %, 7.5 % and 10 % at vegetative, flowering and boll development stage in cotton and at vegetative stage, peak flowering stage and pod development stage in green gram at 21 days interval starting from 21 DAS (21, 42, 63, 84, 105, & 126 DAS) and

one uneven control (Recommended package of practices). The treatments were replicated thrice.

The cotton variety ARBC 1651 was sown at the rate of 7.5 kg ha⁻¹ with bullock drawn seed drill (pora method) with 60 cm × 30 cm row spacing. Greengram variety IPM-02-14 was sown as intercrop at the rate of 15 kg ha⁻¹ in between the cotton rows. The seeds were treated with *beejamrutha* for all the natural farming treatments and with *Azospirillum* and P-solubilizing bacteria for recommended package of practice and organic farming practice treatments prior to sowing. *Ghanajeevamrutha* @ 1000 kg ha⁻¹ was applied in two equal splits before sowing operation and at 30 DAS. Soil drenching of *jeevamrutha* @ 500 l ha⁻¹ was applied at 21 days interval from 21 to 126 DAS in all the natural farming plots. In organic farming plots, the nutrients were supplied equivalent to 100 per cent RDN through FYM and Vermicompost @ 50 % and neem cake @ 2.5 q ha⁻¹ each before sowing was applied and foliar spraying of panchagavya @ 3 % peak flowering and pod and boll development stage greengram and cotton in all organic farming plots. The application of NPK fertilizers were applied based on recommendation of UAS, Dharwad package of practices by calculating in the form of Urea, DAP, and MOP to the RPP to supply fertilizers @ 40, 25 and 25 kg for cotton and 12.5: 25: 0 kg N: P₂O₅: K₂O for 50% greengram population. Seed treatment was done with *Rhizobium* (500 g) and PSB (1250g) at the time of sowing. Recommended nitrogen doses were applied in 2 equal splits at the time of sowing as basal dose and 30 DAS @ 20 kg ha⁻¹ each time. The entire dose of phosphorus and potassium were applied as basal dose. The FYM at the rate of 5 t ha⁻¹ was incorporated in RPP as per recommendations. Soil samples of rhizosphere were collected and microbial population was analysed by using serial dilution plate count technique.

3. Result and Discussion

3.1 Effect of farming practices on cotton in cotton+ greengram intercropping

Among different farming practices, significantly higher number of bacteria, fungi, actinomycetes, N₂fixers and PSM population were recorded in organic farming (18.50 × 10⁵ cfu g⁻¹ dry soil, 4.67 × 10⁴ cfu g⁻¹ dry soil, 11.72 × 10³ cfu g⁻¹ dry soil, 12.61 × 10⁵ cfu g⁻¹ dry soil and 6.94 × 10⁵ cfu g⁻¹ dry soil) than natural farming at harvest stage of greengram. However, at 90 DAS significantly higher number of bacteria, fungi, N₂fixers and PSM population were recorded in organic farming (59.22 × 10⁵ cfu g⁻¹ dry soil, 5.67 × 10⁴ cfu g⁻¹ dry soil, 19.61 × 10⁵ cfu g⁻¹ dry soil and 17.00 × 10⁵ cfu g⁻¹ dry soil). There was no significant difference was

recorded among the farming practices. At harvest stage of cotton, significantly higher number of bacteria, fungi, actinomycetes, N₂fixers and PSM population were recorded in organic farming (33.83 × 10⁵ cfu g⁻¹ dry soil, 4.67 × 10⁴ cfu g⁻¹ dry soil, 7.17 × 10³ cfu g⁻¹ dry soil, 17.44 × 10⁵ cfu g⁻¹ dry soil and 13.11 × 10⁵ cfu g⁻¹ dry soil) (Table 1, 2 and 3). The increased general and beneficial micro flora was due to the population in soil increased after application of *jeevamrutha* and farm yard manure, vermicompost coupled with neem cake are purely organic source and it contains diverse microbial population. However, *Jeevamrutha* was also added to the soil and roots exudates provided food materials for microbes which helps in increasing microbial population. However, availability of nutrients through various organic sources helps in growth and multiplication of microbial load in the soil in organic farming practices. Similar results are in line with the findings of Channagouda *et al.* (2015)^[3] who reported that enhanced microbial activity was due to favourable soil environment and availability of energy in the form of carbon and protein sources through organic manure in cotton crop.

3.2 Effect of different liquid organic formulations on cotton in cotton + greengram intercropping system

Among subplots, foliar application of *jeevamrutha* @ 100 per cent was recorded significantly higher number of bacteria, fungi, actinomycetes, N₂fixers and PSM population (21.17 × 10⁵ cfu g⁻¹ dry soil, 5.17 × 10⁴ cfu g⁻¹ dry soil, 11.72 × 10³ cfu g⁻¹ dry soil, 15.67 × 10⁵ cfu g⁻¹ dry soil and 6.94 × 10⁵ cfu g⁻¹ dry soil). However, application of vermiwash @ 10 per cent recorded on par results with application of *jeevamrutha* @ 100 per cent. than all other treatments at harvest stage of greengram. Significantly higher number of bacteria, fungi, actinomycetes, N₂fixers and PSMS population were recorded in foliar application of *jeevamrutha* @ 100 per cent (61.67 and 35.83 × 10⁵ cfu g⁻¹ dry soil, 6.17 and 5.17 × 10⁴ cfu g⁻¹ dry soil, 10.50 and 7.17 × 10³ cfu g⁻¹ dry soil, 21.33 and 18.50 × 10⁵ cfu g⁻¹ dry soil and 17.00 and 15.17 × 10⁵ cfu g⁻¹ dry soil) at 90 DAS and harvest stage of cotton which is on par with vermiwash @ 10 per cent, cow urine @ 50 per cent. The foliar application of *jeevamrutha* @ 100 per cent helps in increasing soil general and beneficial microflora in sub plots. This was due to foliar created stimuli in the plant system and increased the production of growth regulators in cell system and the action of growth regulators in plant system ultimately stimulated root exudates was act as a food material for microbes for their easy multiplication. Similar results were also observed by Ramesh *et al.* (2018)^[12], Vinay *et al.* (2020)^[15], Chandrakala *et al.* (2008)^[4] in chilli crop, Gore and Sreenivasa (2011)^[7] in tomato, Biradare *et al.* (2017)^[11] in French bean, Gopal *et al.* (2010)^[6] and Potkile *et al.* (2017)^[11] in soyabean -wheat cropping system.

3.3 Recommended practice versus farming system and organic foliar nutrition treatment combinations

When treatment combinations were compared with RPP, application of *jeevamrutha* @ 100 per cent (T₈) under organic farming practices recorded significantly higher number of bacteria, fungi and actinomycetes population (26.33×10^5 cfu g⁻¹ dry soil, 5.67×10^4 cfu g⁻¹ dry soil and 13.67×10^3 cfu g⁻¹ dry soil). Meanwhile, N₂ fixers and PSMS (18.33×10^5 cfu g⁻¹ dry soil and 9.33×10^5 cfu g⁻¹ dry soil) were recorded significantly higher by application of vermiwash @ 10 per cent at harvest stage of greengram than all other foliar treatments and RPP.

At 90 DAS and harvest stage of cotton, application of *jeevamrutha* @ 100 per cent (T₈) was recorded significantly higher number of bacteria, fungi, actinomycetes, N₂ fixers and PSMS population (64.00×10^5 cfu g⁻¹ dry soil, 6.67×10^4 cfu g⁻¹ dry soil, 11.00×10^3 cfu g⁻¹ dry soil, 23.67×10^5 cfu g⁻¹ dry soil and 18.00×10^5 cfu g⁻¹ dry soil) at 90 DAS of cotton and (36.33×10^5 cfu g⁻¹ dry soil, 5.67×10^4 cfu g⁻¹ dry soil, 7.67×10^4 cfu g⁻¹ dry soil, 19.67×10^5 cfu g⁻¹ dry soil and 16.33×10^5 cfu g⁻¹ dry soil) at harvest stage of cotton which was on par with vermiwash @ 10 per cent. The higher general microflora and beneficial microflora were due to addition of organic matter and through liquid organic formulation management strategies and adding organic amendments results in higher microbial activity. These organic amendments and formulations had favourable impact on microbial activity and formation of exudates that are rich in enzyme substrates, plant roots increase enzyme activity. Due to the ongoing addition of organic manures in the form of FYM, vermicompost, green manure, and bio-fertilizers, enzyme activity in soil increased under organic nutrient management practices when compared to inorganic nutrient management practices and the experiment was carried out in those plots, where organic and natural farming practices were followed on permanent plots from last 3 years, similar results were found in Channagouda *et al.* (2014)^[4] in cotton, Kesarwani *et al.* (2009)^[9] in sorghum and Mrkovacki *et al.* (2012)^[10] in maize and soybean.

CONCLUSION

It can be concluded that soil microorganisms are helpful in decomposition of organic matter and nutrient cycling of soils in organic cotton production. Organic farming practices and application of *jeevamrutha* @ 100 % in cotton + greengram intercropping system

recorded higher general and beneficial microbial activity. Whereas, recommended package of practices recorded lower microbial activity in cotton + greengram rhizosphere.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

Table 1: General and beneficial microflora of green gram rhizosphere at harvest as influenced by different farming practices and foliar nutrition in cotton + green gram intercropping system during *kharif* 2021-22

UNDER PEER REVIEW

Table 2: General and beneficial microflora of cotton rhizosphere at 90 DAS as influenced by different farming practices and foliar nutrition in cotton + green gram

Treatment	Bacteria (cfu × 10 ⁵ g soil ⁻¹)	Fungi (cfu × 10 ⁴ g soil ⁻¹)	Actinomy- cetes (cfu × 10 ³ g soil ⁻¹)	N ₂ -fixers (cfu × 10 ⁵ g soil ⁻¹)	PSM's (cfu × 10 ⁵ soil ⁻¹)
Main plot: Farming Practices (M)					
M ₁ : Natural Farming	12.83 ^b	3.28 ^b	10.50 ^b	9.11 ^b	5.28 ^b
M ₂ : Organic Farming	18.50 ^a	4.67 ^a	11.72 ^a	12.61 ^a	6.94 ^a
S.Em. ±	0.36	0.16	0.20	0.31	0.14
Sub Plot: Liquid formulations foliar spray at 21, 42, 63, 84,105 and 126 DAS (S)					
S ₁ : Cow urine @ 50 %	17.00 ^{ab}	4.17 ^{a-c}	11.50 ^{ab}	10.50 ^b	6.00 ^b
S ₂ : Jeevamrutha @ 100 %	21.17 ^a	5.17 ^a	12.83 ^a	15.67 ^a	8.50 ^a
S ₃ : Vermiwash @ 10%	19.83 ^a	4.33 ^{ab}	11.83 ^{ab}	14.83 ^a	8.00 ^a
S ₄ : Gokrupamrutha @ 20 %	14.33 ^{bc}	4.00 ^{bc}	11.00 ^{ab}	9.00 ^b	5.33 ^b
S ₅ : S ₁ -S ₂ - S ₃ - S ₄ - S ₁ -S ₂	12.00 ^c	3.17 ^{bc}	10.50 ^{bc}	8.00 ^b	4.67 ^b
S ₆ : Foliar application of Jeevamrutha as per natural farming Practices	11	3.00 ^c	9.00 ^c	7.17 ^b	4.17 ^b
S.Em. ±	1.05	0.28	0.42	0.82	0.42
Interaction: Farming practices × Foliar sprays (M × S)					
T ₁ -M ₁ S ₁ :	13.33 ^{d-g}	3.67 ^{cd}	11.00 ^{bc}	8.67 ^{d-f}	5.00 ^{c-g}
T ₂ -M ₁ S ₂ :	16.00 ^{de}	4.67 ^{a-c}	12.00 ^{a-c}	13.33 ^b	7.67 ^{ab}
T ₃ -M ₁ S ₃ :	15.67 ^{d-f}	3.67 ^{cd}	11.33 ^{bc}	11.33 ^{b-d}	6.67 ^{b-d}
T ₄ -M ₁ S ₄ :	12.00 ^{e-g}	3.33 ^{de}	10.67 ^{bc}	8.00 ^{d-f}	4.67 ^{d-g}
T ₅ -M ₁ S ₅ :	11.33 ^{fg}	2.33 ^{ef}	10.00 ^c	7.00 ^{ef}	4.00 ^{e-g}
T ₆ -M ₁ S ₆ :	8.67 ^g	2.00 ^f	8.00 ^d	6.33 ^{ef}	3.67 ^{fg}
T ₇ -M ₂ S ₁ :	20.67 ^{bc}	4.67 ^{a-c}	12.00 ^{a-c}	12.33 ^{bc}	7.00 ^{bc}
T ₈ -M ₂ S ₂ :	26.33 ^a	5.67 ^a	13.67 ^a	18.00 ^a	9.33 ^a
T ₉ -M ₂ S ₃ :	24.00 ^{ab}	5.00 ^{ab}	12.33 ^{ab}	18.33 ^a	9.33 ^a
T ₁₀ -M ₂ S ₄ :	16.67 ^{cd}	4.67 ^{a-c}	11.33 ^{bc}	10.00 ^{b-e}	6.00 ^{b-e}
T ₁₁ -M ₂ S ₅ :	12.67 ^{d-g}	4.00 ^{b-d}	11.00 ^{bc}	9.00 ^{c-f}	5.33 ^{c-f}
T ₁₂ -M ₂ S ₆ :	10.67 ^g	4.00 ^{b-d}	10.00 ^c	8.00 ^{d-f}	4.67 ^{d-g}
S.Em. ±	1.32	0.39	0.56	1.04	0.52
RPP	9.67 ^g	2.00 ^f	5.67 ^e	5.67 ^f	3.00 ^g
S.Em. ±	1.41	0.38	0.62	1.11	0.67

intercropping system during kharif 2021-22

Main plot: Farming Practices (M)					
M ₁ : Natural Farming	53.78 ^b	4.61 ^b	8.67 ^a	17.17 ^b	13.39 ^a
M ₂ : Organic Farming Treatment	59.27 ^a	5.67 ^a	9.61 ^a	19.61 ^a	14.87 ^a
S.E.m. ±	0.820	0.177	0.299	0.285	0.390
Sub Plot: Liquid formulations foliar spray at 21, 42, 63, 84, 105 and 126 DAS (S)					
S ₁ : Cow urine @ 50 %	56.67 ^{ab}	5.33 ^{ab}	9.50 ^{ab}	19.00 ^{ab}	14.00 ^{bc}
S ₂ : Jeevamrutha @ 100 %	61.67 ^a	6.17 ^a	10.50 ^a	21.33 ^a	17.00 ^a
S ₃ : Vermiwash @ 10%	60.67 ^a	5.67 ^{ab}	9.83 ^{ab}	20.00 ^{ab}	15.00 ^b
S ₄ : Gokrupamrutha @ 20 %	54.67 ^b	5.00 ^{ab}	9.00 ^{a-c}	18.00 ^{bc}	13.33 ^{bc}
S ₅ : S ₁ -S ₂ - S ₃ - S ₄ - S ₁ -S ₂	53.33 ^b	4.50 ^b	8.50 ^{bc}	16.50 ^c	12.83 ^c
S ₆ : Foliar application of Jeevamrutha as per natural farming Practices	52.00 ^b	4.17 ^b	7.50 ^c	15.50 ^c	12.50 ^c
S.E.m. ±	1.34	0.36	0.39	0.55	0.46
Interaction: Farming practices × Foliar sprays (M × S)					
T ₁ -M ₁ S ₁ :	55.33 ^{cd}	4.67 ^{cde}	9.00 ^{bc}	18.00 ^{c-e}	13.33 ^{c-e}
T ₂ -M ₁ S ₂ :	59.33 ^{a-c}	5.67 ^{a-d}	10.00 ^{ab}	19.00 ^{b-d}	16.00 ^{ab}
T ₃ -M ₁ S ₃ :	58.00 ^{a-c}	5.00 ^{b-e}	9.33 ^{a-c}	18.67 ^{cd}	14.00 ^{b-d}
T ₄ -M ₁ S ₄ :	52.00 ^{c-e}	4.33 ^{de}	8.67 ^{b-d}	17.00 ^{d-g}	12.67 ^{c-e}
T ₅ -M ₁ S ₅ :	50.00 ^{de}	4.00 ^e	8.00 ^{c-e}	15.33 ^{fg}	12.33 ^{de}
T ₆ -M ₁ S ₆ :	48.00 ^e	4.00 ^e	7.00 ^{de}	15.00 ^{gh}	12.00 ^{de}
T ₇ -M ₂ S ₁ :	58.00 ^{a-c}	6.00 ^{abc}	10.00 ^{ab}	20.00 ^{bc}	14.67 ^{bc}
T ₈ -M ₂ S ₂ :	64.00 ^a	6.67 ^a	11.00 ^a	23.67 ^a	18.00 ^a
T ₉ -M ₂ S ₃ :	63.33 ^{ab}	6.33 ^{ab}	10.33 ^{ab}	21.33 ^b	16.00 ^{ab}
T ₁₀ -M ₂ S ₄ :	57.33 ^{a-d}	5.67 ^{a-d}	9.33 ^{a-c}	19.00 ^{b-d}	14.00 ^{b-d}
T ₁₁ -M ₂ S ₅ :	56.67 ^{a-d}	5.00 ^{b-e}	9.00 ^{bc}	17.67 ^{c-f}	13.33 ^{c-e}
T ₁₂ -M ₂ S ₆ :	56.00 ^{b-d}	4.33 ^{de}	8.00 ^{c-e}	16.00 ^{e-g}	13.00 ^{c-e}
S.E.m. ±	1.93	0.48	0.56	0.76	0.63
RPP	45.67 ^e	3.33 ^e	6.33 ^e	12.67 ^h	11.33 ^e
S.E.m. ±	2.24	0.49	0.58	0.78	0.66

Table 3: General and beneficial microflora of cotton rhizosphere at harvest as influenced by different farming practices and foliar nutrition in cotton + green gram intercropping system during kharif 2021-22

Main plot: Farming Practices)					
M ₁ : Natural Farming	29.89 ^b	3.67 ^b	5.44 ^b	15.11 ^b	11.72 ^b
M ₂ : Organic Farming	33.83 ^a	4.67 ^a	7.17 ^a	17.44 ^a	13.11 ^a
S.E.m. ±	0.483	0.136	0.157	0.245	0.196
Sub Plot: Liquid formulations) Foliar spray at 21, 42, 63, 84,105 and 126 DAS					
S ₁ : Cow urine @ 50 %	32.00 ^{ab}	4.17 ^{ab}	6.50 ^{ab}	17.00 ^{a-c}	12.17 ^{bc}
S ₂ : Jeevamrutha @ 100 %	35.83 ^a	5.17 ^a	7.00 ^a	18.50 ^a	15.17 ^a
S ₃ : Vermiwash @ 10%	33.00 ^{ab}	4.50 ^{ab}	6.83 ^{ab}	17.67 ^{ab}	14.33 ^{ab}
S ₄ : Gokrupamrutha @ 20 %	31.17 ^{ab}	4.00 ^{ab}	6.17 ^{ab}	15.83 ^{a-c}	11.83 ^c
S ₅ : S ₁ -S ₂ - S ₃ - S ₄ - S ₁ -S ₂	30.00 ^b	3.67 ^{ab}	6.00 ^{ab}	14.83 ^{bc}	10.67 ^c
S ₆ : Foliar application of Jeevamrutha as per natural farming Practices	29.17 ^b	3.50 ^b	5.33 ^b	13.83 ^c	10.33 ^c
S.E.m. ±	1.11	0.33	0.34	0.73	0.55
Interaction: Farming practices × Foliar sprays (M × S)					
T ₁ -M ₁ S ₁ :	29.67 ^{b-d}	3.67 ^{b-d}	5.67 ^{cd}	16.00 ^{b-d}	11.67 ^{b-e}
T ₂ -M ₁ S ₂ :	35.33 ^a	4.67 ^{a-c}	6.00 ^{b-d}	17.33 ^{a-c}	14.00 ^{ab}
T ₃ -M ₁ S ₃ :	31.33 ^{a-d}	4.00 ^{b-d}	6.00 ^{b-d}	17.00 ^{a-c}	13.00 ^{bc}
T ₄ -M ₁ S ₄ :	28.67 ^{cd}	3.33 ^{cd}	5.33 ^d	14.33 ^{cd}	11.33 ^{c-e}
T ₅ -M ₁ S ₅ :	27.33 ^{de}	3.33 ^{cd}	5.00 ^d	13.00 ^{de}	10.33 ^{d-f}
T ₆ -M ₁ S ₆ :	27.00 ^{de}	3.00 ^d	4.67 ^d	13.00 ^{de}	10.00 ^{ef}
T ₇ -M ₂ S ₁ :	34.33 ^{ab}	4.67 ^{a-c}	7.33 ^{ab}	18.00 ^{ab}	12.67 ^{b-d}
T ₈ -M ₂ S ₂ :	36.33 ^a	5.67 ^a	8.00 ^a	19.67 ^a	16.33 ^a
T ₉ -M ₂ S ₃ :	34.67 ^{ab}	5.00 ^{ab}	7.67 ^a	18.33 ^{ab}	15.67 ^a
T ₁₀ -M ₂ S ₄ :	33.67 ^{ab}	4.67 ^{a-c}	7.00 ^{a-c}	17.33 ^{a-c}	12.33 ^{b-e}
T ₁₁ -M ₂ S ₅ :	32.67 ^{a-c}	4.00 ^{bcd}	7.00 ^{a-c}	16.67 ^{a-c}	11.00 ^{c-e}
T ₁₂ -M ₂ S ₆ :	31.33 ^{a-d}	4.00 ^{b-d}	6.00 ^{b-d}	14.67 ^{cd}	10.67 ^{c-e}
S.E.m. ±	1.45	0.43	0.46	0.91	0.70
RPP	22.67 ^e	2.67 ^d	4.67 ^d	10.33 ^e	8.00 ^f
S.E.m. ±	1.52	0.45	0.50	0.97	0.77

REFERENCE

1. Biradar N, Murali K and Devakumar N, 2017, Dry matter accumulation and nutrient content in French bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) as influenced by organic liquid formulations. In: Proceedings of Scientific Track “International Journal of

Agricultural Research, Innovation and Technology. 3.0”, 19th Organic World Congress, November 9-11, New Delhi, India. p 497-501.

2. Channagouda, R.F., Babalad, H.B. and Prakash Kerure, P.K., 2014. Impact of organic farming practices on soil microbial population in cotton.
3. Channagouda, R.F., Babalad, H.B. and Salimath, S.B., 2015. Effect of organic farming practices on soil properties and beneficial soil micro-organism.
4. Chandrakala M, 2008, Effect of FYM and fermented liquid manures on yield and quality of chili. *M. Sc. (Agri.) Thesis*, University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad, India.
5. Chattopadhyay, A., 2015. Effect of vermiwash of *Eisenia foetida* produced by different methods on seed germination of green mung, *Vigna radiata*. *International Journal of Recycling of Organic Waste in Agriculture*, 4, pp.233-237.
6. Gopal M, Gupta A, Palaniswami C, Dhanapal R and Thomas G V, 2010, Coconut leaf vermiwash: a bio-liquid from coconut leaf vermicompost for improving the crop production capacities of soil. *Current science*, 1202-1210.
7. Gore N S and Sreenivasa M N, 2011, Influence of liquid organic manures on growth, nutrient content and yield of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.) in the sterilized soil. *Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 24(2): 153-157.
8. Jayakumar M and U Surendran, 2017, Intercropping and balanced nutrient management for sustainable cotton production. *Journal of Plant Nutrition.*, 40(5): 632-644.
9. Kesarwani A, 2009, Millable stalk yield, nutrient uptake and soil health in sweet sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench) production as influenced by different organic sources of nutrients. *Mysore Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 43(1): 18-23.
10. Mrkovacki N, Djalovic I, Marinkovic J, Cervenski J and Najvirt B, 2012, Microbial abundance in the rhizosphere of maize and soybean: conventional and organic system production. *Actinomycetes*, 10: 105.

11. Potkile S N, Bhale V M, Deshmukh J P, Dandge M S and Shingrup P V, 2017, Effect of organic sources on soil health improvement and growth of soybean-wheat cropping sequence. *Trends in Biosciences*, 10(28): 5917- 5923.
12. Ramesh S, Sudhakar P and Elankavi S, 2018, Effect of organic foliar nutrition on growth and yield of maize (*Zeamays L.*). *International Journal of Research and Analytical Reviews*, 5(3): 64-67.
13. Sattar, M. N., Kvarnheden, A., Saeed, M., & Briddon, R. W. (2013). Cotton leaf curl disease—an emerging threat to cotton production worldwide. *Journal of General Virology*, 94(4), 695-710.
14. Somasundaram E, Mohhamed M, Amanullah K, Thirukkumaran R, Chandrasekaran K Vaiapuri, Sathyamoorthi K, 2007, Biochemical changes, nitrogen flux and yield of crops due to organic sources of nutrients under maize based cropping system. *Journal of Applied Science and Research*, 3(12): 1724-1729.
15. Vinay G, Padmaja B, Reddy, M.M, Jayasree G and Triveni S, 2020, Effect of natural, organic and inorganic farming methods on microorganisms and enzymes activity of maize rhizosphere. *International Research Journal of Pure & Applied Chemistry*, 21(6): 11-16.
16. Yadav A K and Mowade S M, 2004, Organic manures and compost. In: *Organic farming - A ray of hope for Indian farmer*. The Organic Farming Association of India, Mapusa, Goa, India. pp. 1-104.