

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Pediatric Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPR_129028
Title of the Manuscript:	LESS GASTROINTESTINAL SYMPTOMS IN INFANTS FED GOAT MILK-BASED INFANT FORMULA: A CROSS-SECTIONAL STUDY IN CHINA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I kindly appreciate authors' study and its importance to science. Sample size and its statistical power are adequate. Additional recommendation: Expansion of CoMiSS® was already written before. It might not be necessary indicate again in the Figure 2.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of present study seems suitable. Furthermore, an alternative recommended title might be <i>"Determination of Gastrointestinal Symptoms in Infants Fed Goat Milk-Based Infant Formula: A Cross-Sectional Study in China"</i>	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract of present study is comprehensive and adequate. Suggestion of sentence: Gastrointestinal (GI) symptoms affect approximately half of infants and can adversely impact quality of life of infants and their parents. In this cross-sectional study, infants fed goat milk-based infant formula (GMF) and infants fed cow's milk-based infant formula (CMF) were compared regarding milk-related symptoms, eating behavior and their parents' quality of life (QOL).	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The present study is scientifically correct.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References of present study seemed sufficient. Furthermore, introduction (ref. no: 13-14) could be enriched with another studies.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language quality of present study is suitable for scholarly communications and it is apprehensible.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Caner Övet
Department, University & Country	Turkey