

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_129556
Title of the Manuscript:	Construal Level and Cognitive Reflection in Newsvendor Games: Unveiling the Influence of Individual Heterogeneity on Decision-Making
Type of the Article	Laboratory Experiment Article in Behavioural Operations Management or Decision Sciences

**PART 1: Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Firstly, It advances the understanding of decision-making by giving insights into the role of construal levels as well as cognitive reflection Secondly, it also adds value to supply chain management by showing how decision-makers respond to different scenarios to prevent loss and increase their organizations' profit Thirdly, It demonstrates the effectiveness of laboratory experiments by investigating complex phenomenon	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes it is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is not comprehensive. The research design was not indicated in the abstract, and the result of the study/experiment was not included in the abstract	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is scientifically correct because it provides theoretical contributions to decision-making in newsvendor Games. It also provides practical implications that will improve supply chain operations, especially in their decision-making processes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes they are	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The work is good	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

<b>Name:</b>	<b>Zakka Gandu Casimir</b>
<b>Department, University &amp; Country</b>	<b>National Institute of Public Information, Nigeria</b>