

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_129055
Title of the Manuscript:	INFLUENCE OF CLIMATIC PARAMETERS ON THE INCIDENCE OF BLACK POD ROT OF COCOA TREES IN CÔTE D'IVOIRE
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript handles a pertinent issue of black pod rot affecting cocoa production. It also highlights the need for strategies to control the attack of black pd rot on cocoa production.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is very informative of the text in the manuscript	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	I have reworded some of the text in the abstract	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes the manuscript is well written apart from some citations that are quit old	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Some references are very old yet Cocoa has been studied so there should be up to date literature for example Rotem J, Cohen Y, Bashi E. 1978, Wood GAR, Lass RA. 1985, Duniway JM. 1979,	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is okay	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Christine K. Mwanja
Department, University & Country	National Agricultural Research Institution, Uganda