

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_128904
Title of the Manuscript:	Sustainable Intensification and Climate Resilience in the Rice-Wheat Cropping System of North-Western India: Challenges and Strategies
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	for the scientific community, but needs a lot more information	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, Acceptable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Abstract : Abstract should present introduction, purpose, methods, results and conclusions • Introduction : Before the purpose, state the previous studies conducted by other people or same authors for other biota and or same biota • Methodology : Acceptable, but must be add more • Results and Discussions : discussion is missing and unclear, Compare to other previous studies have been conducted by other researchers or same researcher, in other organism or same organism 	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, this manuscript is scientifically correct but unclear	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	sufficient, but needs to be improved	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	enough	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Adam Robisalmi
Department, University & Country	National Research and Innovation Agency (BRIN), Indonesia