

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMCS_129387
Title of the Manuscript:	Emerging Trends and Insights: A Comprehensive Bibliometric Analysis of Artificial Intelligence Applications in Healthcare and Psychology
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The paper presents a bibliometric analysis of advancements in Artificial Intelligence in Healthcare and Psychology (AIHCP). While the topic is timely and relevant, particularly given the rapid developments in AI technologies and their applications in healthcare and psychology, the abstract lacks clarity and depth in several areas. The structure and language could be improved for better comprehension, and the methodology requires more detail to enhance the reproducibility of the study.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	YES	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Overall, the abstract provides a foundational overview of a bibliometric analysis in a rapidly evolving field. However, it requires significant revisions for clarity, depth, and methodological rigor. The authors should consider rephrasing ambiguous statements, expanding on methodological details, and providing more specific findings and implications. By addressing these concerns, the abstract could more effectively convey the significance of the research and its contributions to the field of AIHCP.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The stated of the article is somewhat vague. While it claims to provide a bibliometric analysis and a status assessment of trends, it does not specify the significance of these trends or how they contribute to the field of AIHCP. A clearer articulation of the research questions or hypotheses would strengthen the purpose section. Additionally, it would be beneficial to elaborate on why a bibliometric analysis is particularly suited for this emerging area of research.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	YES	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	More English verification is suitable	
Optional/General comments	The claim that the research offers a global overview is promising; however, it would benefit from a more explicit discussion of how this overview differs from or builds upon existing literature. The mention of extracting text from unstructured abstracts is relevant, but the implications of this method should be elaborated upon. For instance, how does this approach affect the validity and reliability of the findings	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nouric Hicham
Department, University & Country	Hassan II University of Casablanca, Morocco