

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_129369
Title of the Manuscript:	A Comprehensive Review on Application of Conventional and Mutation Approaches in Genetic Improvement of Ornamental Crops
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Manuscript content will well prepared in respect to mutation breeding approach towards ornamental plant. Various ornamental floral whorls imparted in review paper. Table content is adequate information regarding application and use of physical and chemical mutagens in mutation breeding.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes , it is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract must needed small information regarding induced and spontaneous mutation as per my opinion.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes scientifically correct	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are sufficient for review article, but it is more in number . it should be minimum upto 40-60 numbers .	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The manuscript of review of mutation breeding in ornamental plants is to good , which shows attention of new research attracts towards this review for future investigation.	

PART 2:

	<u>Reviewer's comment</u>	<u>Author's comment</u> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Navnath Gangadhar Kashid
Department, University & Country	Baburaoji Adaskar Mahavidyalaya, India