

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Ophthalmology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRROP_128329
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>A Randomised Prospective Study Evaluating the Administration of Ranibizumab for 5 or 30 Days Prior to Vitrectomy in Severe Diabetic Retinopathy: Complications, Outcomes, and Development of Retinal Traction</b>
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Diabetic retinopathy and its complications are among the leading cause of blindness. Though there are many techniques are available to deal with the DR and its complications, still there are lots of debate going on, about the administration of intravitreal injection of anti-VEGF and PRP, when it is associated with Cataract surgery (Phaco.). Choosing the topic by the author in this scenario of debate is the need of the hour.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	YES	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Its comprehensive.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes correct	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The Author all the points that is required for this topic.	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Tarun Kumar Dwibedi
Department, University & Country	Rohilkhand Ayurved Medical College & Hospital, India