

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Ophthalmology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRROP_128329
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>A Randomised Prospective Study Evaluating the Administration of Ranibizumab for 5 or 30 Days Prior to Vitrectomy in Severe Diabetic Retinopathy: Complications, Outcomes, and Development of Retinal Traction</b>
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	This manuscript addresses a significant gap in the current understanding of preoperative management for patients with severe diabetic retinopathy undergoing vitrectomy. By comparing the outcomes of ranibizumab administration at two different intervals prior to surgery, the study provides valuable insights into optimizing treatment protocols to enhance surgical outcomes and minimize complications. The findings could lead to improved clinical guidelines, ultimately contributing to better visual prognosis and quality of life for patients. Additionally, this research adds to the growing body of evidence regarding the role of anti-VEGF therapies in managing diabetic retinopathy, encouraging further investigations into personalized treatment approaches in ophthalmology.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	<b>I think it should be as, A Randomized Prospective Study on the Timing of Ranibizumab Administration (5 vs. 30 Days) Before Vitrectomy in Patients with Severe Diabetic Retinopathy: Assessing Complications, Visual Outcomes, and Retinal Traction Development"</b>	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	<b>Abstract is comprehensive, following changes should done, Key words should be written in alphabet order, Results should explain according p. value (significant or non). Recommendations should be written.</b>	
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	Yes.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	Yes.	
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Yes.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<b>After the mentioned amendments, overall its good.</b>	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Muhammad Zubair Nazar</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Riphah International University Lahore, Pakistan</b>