

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Chemical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOCS_129205
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATING ANTIOXIDANTS PRESENT IN GRAPEFRUIT PEEL PHYTOCHEMICALS USING BLENDING EXTRACTION METHOD
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript explores the antioxidant properties of grapefruit peel, a commonly neglected byproduct, through the identification of important phytochemicals such as phenolic, flavonoids, and saponins. The findings suggest that grapefruit peel could serve as a natural, affordable source of antioxidants, with potential applications in industries such as food, pharmaceuticals, and cosmetics, while also encouraging the sustainable use of agricultural waste.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is little short, I think it should be a minimum of 170 to 180 words, I have make changes in the revised manuscript.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The Materials and Methods section is insufficiently detailed and should be written more thoroughly. Additionally, the Results and Discussion sections need to be separated into distinct chapters. Furthermore, the References section is too brief and requires more citations to support the research	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The References section is too brief and requires more citations to support the research	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	It is suitable to some extent, but I would recommend thoroughly checking the grammar again	
Optional/General comments	It is important to revise the Materials and Methods section properly, as it does not explain how the analysis was conducted or which software was used. Additionally, the figures and graphs are of poor quality. More support your result by proper citation.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Javed Iqbal
Department, University & Country	Northeast Agriculture University, Pakistan