

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Chemical Sciences</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOCS_129205
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluating Antioxidants Present In Grapefruit Peel Phytochemicals Using Blending Extraction Method
Type of the Article	research article

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript entitled "EVALUATING ANTIOXIDANTS PRESENT IN GRAPEFRUIT PEEL PHYTOCHEMICALS USING BLENDING EXTRACTION METHOD" is well designed and reported, and study seems promising.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title is ok.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes it's ok but Improvement is required for a better understanding	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, your manuscript scientifically correct	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are recent and rich but DOI should be added	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	I believe that this manuscript would benefit from a final review by a native English speaker. The standard of English was very good, yet there were some inconsistencies such as missing 'the's within sentences.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	some remarks will need to be reviewed 1. Please clarify the extraction method, including the exact solvent composition, solid-to-solvent ratio, and extraction time. 2. -Please use reporting units for TPC and TFC throughout the manuscript. 3. evaluate the antioxidant activity in terms of IC50	

#### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abdelkader Basli
Department, University & Country	University of 20 August 1955 Skikda, Algeria