

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Food Research and Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFRN_129703
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Mothers' Knowledge of Childhood Malnutrition Prevention Practices at Ugbor Primary Health Centre, Benin City, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript provides valuable insights into mothers' knowledge of childhood malnutrition prevention practices in a specific community, contributing to a deeper understanding of the factors influencing child health. By focusing on the Ugbor Primary Health Centre in Benin City, Nigeria, the study highlights critical gaps in awareness and practices that can guide targeted interventions and public health strategies. Its findings have the potential to inform policymakers, healthcare providers, and community leaders, ultimately aiding in the design of effective programs to combat malnutrition. Furthermore, the study underscores the importance of maternal education and community-based efforts in improving child nutrition and overall well-being.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, the manuscript is scientifically partially correct, but there is a need for some improvement in the methodological section.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	yes	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sanjeet Singh
Department, University & Country	Central University of Himachal Pradesh, India