

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Food Research and Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFRN_129703
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Mothers' Knowledge of Childhood Malnutrition Prevention Practices at Ugbor Primary Health Centre, Benin City, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> By focusing on preventative practices, the research directly targets the root causes of malnutrition, such as inadequate feeding practices, poor nutritional education, and lack of access to nutritious foods. The study would offer valuable insights into the gaps in maternal knowledge and practices, which is crucial for targeted educational programs and community outreach efforts. By improving maternal knowledge of childhood nutrition, the research contributes to the achievement of global goals, helping to reduce malnutrition rates and improve child health outcomes in Nigeria. The study might also influence health policies related to maternal and child health, especially in resource-limited settings, helping to allocate resources more effectively. 	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Suitable article 	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Improvement needed in the description of results. Data is missing in the last line. There are grammatical errors that need correction. 	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct overall. The methodology is sound, and the findings are supported by appropriate evidence. However, there are a few minor points that could be clarified or expanded upon for greater accuracy and clarity, which I have mentioned in the review form.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions for additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references appear to be sufficient and generally up to date.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language and English quality of the article are generally suitable for scholarly communication. The writing is clear and concise, with appropriate academic tone. However, there are a few areas where sentence structure and grammar could be improved for better readability and flow. I have highlighted these suggestions in the review form.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Priya Yadav
Department, University & Country	Dr. Bhimrao Ambedkar University, India