

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_129830
Title of the Manuscript:	Research on the Application of Programming Education in the Cultivation of Children's Core Literacy
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p><u>Reviews:-</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. There are some references in the paper which has not been referred anywhere in the article 2. There are grammatical mistakes in the article. 3. <u>Author should have refereed some existing theories and should have linked with them</u> 4. <u>No proper methodology has been applied</u> 5. <u>Author should add few more latest references</u> 6. <u>Conclusion and Future scope is missing</u> 7. <u>Challenges faced by children are not referred from previous studies</u> 8. <u>Author name, Email ID , Affiliation is also missing in the article</u> 9. <u>There is huge scope of improving the quality of the paper.</u> 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Monika Nijhawan
Department, University & Country	Amity Institute of Information Technology, Amity University, India