

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_129480
Title of the Manuscript:	Bioaccumulation of Mercury and its consequences on Biochemical parameters in Coriander (Coriandrum Sativum) plants
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Mercury poisoning has recently received attention in response to global environmental degradation. Plant uptake of mercury may be correlated with pollution levels. So, this point of view manuscript is important.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	YES	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The results demonstrated that mercury treatment caused a marked decline in crucial growth parameters, including germination percentage, root length, shoot length, fresh weight, dry weight, and vigor index, significantly lower in the treated groups than the control plants. Some physiological parameters may be added for example AGR, RGR, LAR, and SLA	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	No	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Citations are adequate, yet some are outdated.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	no	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Overall review, Some grammatical mistakes are found, lack of proper graphs and tables.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nishtha Srivastava
Department, University & Country	Invertis University, India