

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_129136
Title of the Manuscript:	Use of artificial intelligence in nursing in low-income settings: Readiness criteria for application
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript provides valuable insights into the readiness criteria for implementing AI in nursing, particularly in low-income settings. It highlights essential risk governance, bridging knowledge gaps, and data infrastructure needs. The research is timely and relevant for advancing nursing practices using AI in such contexts.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is suitable and captures the core theme of the manuscript effectively.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive, but consider explicitly mentioning the significance of the readiness criteria in the conclusion to enhance clarity.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is scientifically accurate, with sufficient references to substantiate the claims.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient and recent. No additional references are necessary at this stage.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is suitable for scholarly communication, but minor proofreading may be needed for grammar consistency.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mokshud Ali
Department, University & Country	Times University Bangladesh, Bangladesh