

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_129309
Title of the Manuscript:	Constraints For Tribal Farmers In The Melghat Region: Challenges And Strategic Insights
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study will be useful for all the stakeholders who are working for the betterment of the Tribal population through agriculture and allied activities.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Although title is 'ok' but can be more suitable like <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Exploring the Constraints Faced by Tribal Farmers in Melghat, Maharashtra: An Exploratory Study • Agricultural and Livelihood Development of Tribal Communities in Melghat: Challenges and Recommendations 	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is comprehensive	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct but there are various method of constraint analysis like Garrett ranking technique that can be used. Because the statistical analysis used as well as presentation of data is very simple it can be made more effective through proper analysis as well correlation between the socio-economic character and constraints. Additionally, why only 8 constraints and 4 recommendations. The author should explain the basis of selection of these. Are these the only constraints that Tribal farmers are facing? justify the logic behind Table number as well as title should be properly mentioned.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are very less please add more references to enhance the effectiveness and scientific validity of the study.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	There are spelling as well as grammatical errors in the manuscript.	
Optional/General comments	After necessary revisions manuscript can be accepted.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kamal Kumar
Department, University & Country	LUVAS, India