

Review Form 3

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | South Asian Research Journal of Natural Products |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_SARJNP_129910 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | A REVIEW OF THE PHYTOCHEMISTRY AND MEDICINAL USES OF LONCHOCARPUS SPECIES |
| Type of the Article | Review Article |

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|--|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | The manuscript holds relevance in terms of a systematic review. However, some images must be included and references needs to be updated. | |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | The title should be changed. One of the suggestions is "Phytochemistry and medicinal uses of <i>Lonchocarpus</i> species – A Systematic Review of a promising medicinal plant". | |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | The abstract is comprehensive. However, it seems to be ended abruptly. Kindly make it sharp. | |
| Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here. | Manuscript is scientifically sound. However, there are lots of spelling mistakes. At most of the places no attention has been given to correctly write even the scientific names. | |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. | References needs to be updated. Many recent references are available those should be included in the manuscript. | |

Review Form 3

| | | |
|--|--|--|
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | English is fine. However, a few sentences need restructuring. Spelling mistakes needs to be corrected. | |
| Optional/General comments | | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name: | Kadambini |
| Department, University & Country | Babasaheb Bhimrao Ambedkar Bihar University, India |