

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_129592
Title of the Manuscript:	A Review of the Effectiveness of the Sierra Leone Mining Policies in Sustainable Environmental Management
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	-The research is important to awaken the scientific community in general and the Sierra Leonean government in particular on how they can revamp their flawed mining policies. Environmental management is a hot issue currently and mining activities are now subject to thorough scrutiny. Under such circumstances, it becomes vital to analyse the efficacy of policies that purport to protect the environment from inimical extractive activities.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	-The title of the article is suitable. The article analyses the efficacy of Sierra Leonean mining policies, and the thrust of the article is explicitly captured in the title.	

Review Form 3

<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>-The abstract gives an insight of the thrust of the manuscript. However, the author should note the following: a)-An abstract should be ONE continuous paragraph and should not be split into paragraphs. b)-The author should include his/her findings (in the abstract) on the efficacy of the Sierra Leonean mining policies, i.e. whether they are effective or not. c)-The author should also briefly highlight the methodology adopted in the manuscript to gather data</p>	
<p>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</p>	<p>The manuscript is scientifically correct as it assesses the efficacy of the Sierra Leonean government's mining policies, proffering cross-references with other African states such as Ghana, Australia and South Africa. However, the author (s) should note the following: a)-All direct quotes should be accompanied with page numbers, otherwise, the author(s) should paraphrase; e.g. Smith (2024:105) says, "We are all prone to peril." b)- Under the "Results" Section, Table 2 which reveals the Mining policies in Sustainable Environmental Management/Practice has not been referenced. The author (s) need to reference such illustrations. c)-On the section entitled "Challenges in Implementing Sierra Leone Mining Policy," the author(s) should reference their findings. Such findings have been discovered by many scholars whom they can cite. The failure to cite scholars on the section will compromise the credibility of their manuscript.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>-The references are sufficient. However, the author(s) should note the following: 1)-References are not capitalized. 2)-Book, journal, periodical and newspaper titles are italicized. 3)-Author (s) to adhere to the journal's accepted referencing style.</p>	
<p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>-The language is suitable and up to the required standard. The authors may also revamp any language errors by engaging the services of a language expert, when necessary.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>-No ethical issues foreseen or predicted No competing interests</p>	

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Daglous Makumbe</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>University of the Western Cape, South Africa</p>