

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAAR_129700
Title of the Manuscript:	Agricultural transformation and its contribution to Development
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The area is significant for the scientific community because there is a gap in understanding the relationships between the transformation of agricultural development and growth, agricultural productivity and commercialization, and human well-being in the peri-urban fringe of major cities worldwide	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Actually its suitable but if revised in this way; # Agricultural transformation, and its contribution to Rural-Urban area Economic Development in south Asian and African countries	

Review Form 3

<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract is not as complete, so certain results must be included What is the gap this review articles going to be fill would be included before the objectives and the various paths used in both regions need to be included and show its effectiveness as findings of this research. Also hhhic phase were best in each region to transform agricultural sector. so, adding this the abstract will be comprehensive</p>	
<p>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</p>	<p>Its yes but for betterment and in order for the manuscript to be more robust and scientifically valid, the preceding comments, which I have included, have to be included. In general in the result part if the following aspects addressed according to its numbering 1.Agriculture in the importance of economic development in each regions 2.challenges of agriculture in rural and urban area of each region 3.a brief overview of policy and strategy followed in different time to overcome those challenges 4.agricultural transformation process followed in each regions 4.then agricultural transformation need to be measure by the four indicators' .i.e.1.crop productivities by each region 2.crop diversification 3.agricultural labour productivities and 4.the system expansion because of this need to be showed by region ####Then agricultural transformation index need to be made 5.Doing this...agricultural transformation and households income and welfare need to be linked</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p># No, the references are not sufficient. All in the documents, starting from the introduction part and notably in the result section, other research results alignment is needed because there is a sort of research result with regard to agricultural transformation's. so, I indicated in the document where updated and additional reference is needed.</p>	
<p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Fine again if each document separated in paragraph and some Grammar and language must be check and revised</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p># Generally, based on the comments made in the original document and indicated here needed to be revised If the following comments made;1.what are the data analysis tool used, how it is tested and why that analysis tool used need to be clarified. 3.Have strength and limitation of the study 4.Countries this study touches is three from Asia and two from Africa.so, the data from this small number cannot express or address both regions.so, a number of African and Asian countries need to be included</p>	

PART 2:

	<p><u>Reviewer's comment</u></p>	<p><u>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</u></p>
<p><u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u></p>	<p><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Belay Roba</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>Melkassa Agricultural Research Center, Ethiopia</p>