

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAAR_129700
Title of the Manuscript:	Agricultural transformation and its contribution to Development
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript provides valuable insights into agricultural transformation by analyzing key components, challenges, and regional differences. Its comparative perspective informs policy and further research.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes with slight correction. Agricultural transformation and its contribution to Economic Development of Asia and Africa. The paper appears to be analysing regional part of Asia (South Asia). This should be same for Africa (take East or West African countries only) This is because regional countries have regional peculiarities. For instance, agricultural transformation in Nigeria is different from what is obtained in Kenya. The methodology should specify the regions you source your data for.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract provides a general overview of the manuscript, however, it can be enhanced for better comprehensiveness and alignment with scholarly standards. i. Include the main objectives of the study to clarify what the research aims to achieve. ii. State the methodology used, at least 1 or 2 findings and few recommendations.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	It appears scientifically correct based on the provided content however, only a thorough review would confirm its scientific rigor.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	It would be more adequate to include additional references. It is the duty of the author to search for more related references. Cite more recent literature not more than 3 to 5 years back	

Review Form 3

<p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>To a greater extent it is suitable, however, the author should ensure consistent use of tense throughout the manuscript and focus on direct and impactful statements, particularly when discussing findings.</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>Objective of the paper has not been clearly stated.</p> <p>This article does not have any literature review section. Incorporating a comprehensive review of relevant literature would strengthen the paper by providing a robust theoretical foundation and context for its findings. Additionally, more precise articulation of methodology and clearer presentation of results would further enhance its scholarly impact.</p> <p>Employ a comparative research design to analyze agricultural transformation in South Asia and (East or West) Africa. Justify the selection of these regions based on their shared challenges (e.g.food security) and distinct socio-economic and policy environments.</p> <p>Sustainable Livelihood Framework can form your Theoretical background. There are places in the text that require referencing, E.g “In Sub-Saharan Africa, agriculture is the major catalyst of the economy. Countries of Africa include Nigeria, Kenya, Ethiopia and Ghana are highly dependent on agriculture exports such as export of coffee, cocoa, cotton and tea”(Source?)</p> <p>The methodology must state the sampled countries in south Asia and Africa and this must be consistent through out the paper. The data obtained does not reflect a time series from 1973 to 2023 rather data was obtained only for accessible years. There are statistical techniques that can be used to augment for missing data.</p> <p>Since secondary data was used, conduct a systematic review of policy documents, reports, and case studies to capture regional disparities. Use content analysis to evaluate the effectiveness of agricultural policies and programs.</p>	

PART 2:

	<p><u>Reviewer's comment</u></p>	<p><u>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</u></p>
<p><u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u></p>	<p><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p><u>Name:</u></p>	<p><u>Shafaatu Bello Habi0062</u></p>
<p><u>Department, University & Country</u></p>	<p><u>Saadatu Rimi College of Education, Nigeria</u></p>