

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Advances in Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_129668
Title of the Manuscript:	A Review of research on fatigue performance of fiber reinforced concrete
Type of the Article	Opinion Article

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This is very important for strengthened concrete It is new area of enhance characteristics of conventional concrete mixture. Basic literature has provided by the author and its excellent as a starting point of novel research area in Civil Engineering . In addition this is a turning point of construction industry	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	I think topic should change and suggest following topic  A Review of fatigue performance of fiber mixture with concrete	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract not comprehensive and suggest some changes in the manuscript. It should reflect ultimate objectives of the paper	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes It can accept as scientifically correct because there are significant content. However highlighted minor corrections	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Number of references is enough however should fallow standard references method according to editorial board provided. On the other hand should be use Harvard or APA style.Better to use Mendaly software for add the references	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Suggest a proof reading and some are highlighted in the manuscript	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Overall its good	

#### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sampath Abeygunasekara
Department, University & Country	University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka