

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_128655
Title of the Manuscript:	SEASONAL INCIDENCE OF MAJOR INSECT PESTS OF MUNGBEAN
Type of the Article	Research

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript describes the association of weather and insect pests of mung bean , an important pulse crop. The manuscript lacks clarity and needs to be revised majorly as per the suggestions.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	SEASONAL INCIDENCE OF MAJOR INSECT PESTS OF MUNGBEAN, <i>Vigna radiata</i> (L.) R. Wilczek	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Highlight the scope of the research.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The author should thoroughly review and revise the manuscript before submitting it to the journal. Conclusion is missing in the manuscript. Results needs to interpret properly followed by discussion It lacks any new insights or originality. Recommended to revise the manuscript with updated information.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The manuscript requires the inclusion of more recent references	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Needs major revision to satisfy the journal standard.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sankarganesh E
Department, University & Country	Bihar Agricultural University, India