

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_128774
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Burden of Burnout Syndrome among Emergency Physicians in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis</b>
Type of the Article	Review Article

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>The article covers an interesting topic; however, several changes and improvements are necessary before publication.</p> <p>The authors need to specify the purpose and the scientific contributions of their study.</p> <p>The researcher did not conduct an in-depth analysis to identify key factors in physician burnout in order to design appropriate intervention strategies.</p> <p>Recommended for publication with major revisions</p>	
<p><b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b></p>	<p><b>yes</b></p>	
<p><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b></p>	<p>It is recommended to paraphrase the abstract and write it in a coherent text, cancelling the subheadings of the abstract.</p> <p>Some errors:</p> <p><i>(to assess the prevalence of burnout <b>components high emotional exhaustion</b>, high-depersonalization,...)</i></p> <p>In the abstract and statement of purpose, the researcher states that the study explores intervention strategies to promote psychological well-being among physicians, but the results of the study do not focus on intervention strategies.</p> <p><i>(Burnout is prevalent among emergency physicians in Saudi Arabia. <b>Interventions focusing on workload management and supportive environments are crucial for improving physician well-being</b>)</i></p> <p>Keywords: It is recommended to leave only five keywords;</p>	
<p><b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b></p>	<p>yes</p>	
<p><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b></p>	<p>yes</p>	

**Review Form 3**

<p><b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes, unless some errors</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The implications of the findings for future research and potential applications not clearly expressed;</p> <p>It is not appropriate to include a title for the aim of the study, as the authors have already illustrated the aims of the study in the introduction.</p> <p><i>This meta-analysis seeks to contribute to the existing literature by synthesizing data on burnout among emergency physicians in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. By examining prevalence rates, identifying contributing factors, and exploring potential interventions, this meta-analysis aims to inform strategies for addressing burnout and promoting physician well-being in Saudi Arabia's healthcare context.</i></p> <p><b>Study Aim</b> <i>The aim of this meta-analysis is to determine the prevalence of burnout syndrome among emergency physicians in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.</i></p> <p>Citation of references does not conform to the standards. For example:</p> <p><i>(a systematic review by Rotenstein et al. found that 67% of physicians experience burnout, with rates varying across specialties and regions)</i></p> <p>Some figures (Funnel plot) don't explain clearly what is shown.</p> <p>The researcher did not conduct an in-depth analysis to identify key factors in physician burnout in order to design appropriate intervention strategies.</p> <p>The study was limited to comparing the three components of burnout based on the results of six studies (lack of importance and originality). It would be necessary to broaden the analysis to include the burnout factors among physicians (not only the aspects of burnout).</p> <p>It would be advisable to be open to other studies, including those conducted in Arabic, in order to give more value to the results of the study.</p> <p>It would be recommended to deepen the analysis and enrich the discussion rather than limiting it to some technical aspects.</p> <p>Conclusions should be supported by the data presented.</p> <p>The research limits should be included in the conclusion instead of the discussion;</p>	

**PART 2:**

	<p><b>Reviewer's comment</b></p>	<p><b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Reviewer Details:**

<p>Name:</p>	<p><b>Abdelmajid Qourrichi</b></p>
<p>Department, University &amp; Country</p>	<p><b>Ibn Tofail University, Morocco</b></p>