

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_129311
Title of the Manuscript:	PREDICTORS OF ACADEMIC ANXIETY AMONG SECONDARY SCHOOL STUDENTS
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This scientific article is important in informing factors that contribute as predictors of academic anxiety among high school students	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title formulation is good	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The background of the problem is still general in nature and should be focused on the research objectives and revealing the novelty of this research. The important methods section describes the quantitative or qualitative approach used as well as the instruments used and how to analyze the data. Keywords should be arranged alphabetically	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The subsections and structure of the manuscript are good	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	All references used are quite old. It is best to use references no later than the last 10 years	

Review Form 3

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English used is quite communicative	
Optional/General comments	It is necessary to add a conclusion at the end of the study before the references containing research conclusions and suggestions	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gamar Binti Non Shamdas,
Department, University & Country	Tadulako University, Indonesia