

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_129311
Title of the Manuscript:	PREDICTORS OF ACADEMIC ANXIETY AMONG SECONDARY SCHOOL STUDENTS
Type of the Article	Research

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

#### **PART 1: Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Academic anxiety is a major problem in the classroom learning. This area needs serious work to allow for smooth mathematics learning. It was therefore an important study that must be encouraged	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	I suggest the author adds two or three Recommendations or Implications of the Findings	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	NO. Most cited authors have NOT been sent to the Reference List	

**Review Form 3**

<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	No. Several grammatical errors were detected.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<b>The author needs NOT to separate the INTRODUCTION from the Review of Related Literature. Most of the authors cited are too old. The latest is WHO (2019) and this is NOT good for this kind of study that occurs every day.</b>	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Clement Ayarebilla Ali</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Education, Winneba, Ghana</b>