

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Economics, Management and Trade</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEMT_126785
Title of the Manuscript:	The Impact of Exchange Rate Volatility on Sectoral Output in Liberia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

#### **PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article is timely, especially now that some West African countries are facing economic crisis giving their over reliance on US dollar as standard currency for international transactions. This manuscript is important; it will help policy makers to adjust economic policies in favour of those sectors that have positive sign in relation to international trade and with low volatility (stability) in terms of exchange rate between local currency and US dollar.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The title is ok; it carries the message in the body of the manuscript.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Relatively appropriate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is scientifically robust and technically sound because the author(s) follows the pattern of scientific research writing, and the methodology used was appropriate in line with models applied in the research. This implies that the study has a scientific based because the study adopted studies from other scholars.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The majority of the references are quite old, and some of the authors cited are not found in the litereture, and some that are in the references are not found in the text or manuscript.	

### Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Yes.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The corrections observed are minor, if the author(s ) works on the corrections as suggested, the quality of the paper will be improved.  <b>PLEASE SEE ATACHMENT</b>	

#### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Elisha Yari Dangiwa</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Kaduna State University, Nigeria</b>