

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Biology &amp; Biotechnology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_129455
Title of the Manuscript:	Leaf area index of Dry Direct Seeded Rice ( <i>Oryza sativa</i> L.) as influenced by different Nitrogen levels and Weed management practices under temperate conditions.
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

#### **PART 1: Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Influence of different Nitrogen levels and Weed management practices on growth parameters of Dry Direct Seeded Rice ( <i>Oryza sativa</i> L.) under temperate conditions.	

**Review Form 3**

<p><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b></p>	<p>In the abstract, the treatment details need to be added to better understand the manuscript.</p>	
<p><b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b></p>	<p>The introduction needs to be brief and clear            Only the observations related to Leaf Area Index have been taken.            More observations of the growth parameters are required to get to a conclusion.            No tables have been included, only the figures have been given. The SEM, CD, and CV values have not been mentioned.            The discussion part is missing</p>	
<p><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b></p>	<p>Few references are very old.            The paper should be revised per journal format and written as per the author's guidelines.</p>	
<p><b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>		
<p><b><u>Optional/General</u> comments</b></p>	<p><b>Needs revision. Can be accepted after addition of more data on growth parameters</b>            In the abstract, the treatment details need to be added to better understand the manuscript.            Acknowledgment may be added            Few references are very old.            The paper should be revised per journal format and written as per the author's guidelines.</p>	

**PART 2:**

	<p><b><u>Reviewer's comment</u></b></p>	<p><b><u>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</u></b></p>
<p><b><u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u></b></p>	<p><b><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></b></p>	

**Reviewer Details:**

<p><b><u>Name:</u></b></p>	<p><b><u>Monika Ray</u></b></p>
<p><b><u>Department, University &amp; Country</u></b></p>	<p><b><u>Odisha University of Agriculture and Technology, India</u></b></p>