

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Research and Reports in Dentistry</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJRRD_125420
Title of the Manuscript:	Conservative management of a radicular cyst associated with a pulpectomised primary molar followed by bonded space regainer for eruption of premolar– A case report
Type of the Article	Case report

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

#### **PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	This case report explains about the need for long term follow up of endodontically treated teeth. managemnt of cystic lesion and space regaining has been explained.long term follow up of the patient till the eruption of permanent teeth has been done.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	<b>yes</b>	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	Abstract can be mentioned as background,case description, clinical significance and conclusion rather . Aim should be changed to background	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	yes	

**Review Form 3**

<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>Manuscript clearly mentions about the etiology and management of radicular cysts. Mentions about the requirement of long term followup and management of associated space loss that can arise.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>yes</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>yes</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>1.Author can consider including more clinically relevant pictures,Extra oral profile picture with closed mouth to show the extend of the lesion,Appliance used for decompression ,Preoperative image of bonded space regainer. 2.“Upon aspiration, a pale yellow fluid was obtained, containing neutrophils, sporadic dispersed macrophages, and a few lymphocytes.No atypical cells were found”-mention as aspiration cytology 3.Reference 3 not mentioned in the manuscript 4.Can include details about the bonded space regainer</p>	

**PART 2:**

	<p><b>Reviewer's comment</b></p>	<p><b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Reviewer Details:**

<p>Name:</p>	<p><b>Anagha.C</b></p>
<p>Department, University &amp; Country</p>	<p><b>Indira Gandhi instiute of dental sciences,SBV, India</b></p>