

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Medical and Pharmaceutical Case Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJMPCR_127605
Title of the Manuscript:	X-LINKED RETINITIS PIGMENTOSA REVEALED BY TAPETAL LIKE REFLEX : WHEN YOU ALL NEED FOR DIAGNOSIS IS A FUNDUS PICTURE- A CASE REPORT AND LITTÉRATURE REVIEW
Type of the Article	Case report

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is an important case for Primare care in Ocular fundus observations	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	I suggest to chang Title. See manuscript's comments	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract must include more info as CARE Checklist for case reports. https://www.care-statement.org/checklist	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is correct	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references is in Bold and don't have URL links	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Th English quality of the articule and grammar need be reviewed.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Patricia Durán Ospina
Department, University & Country	Universidad Técnica de Manabí, Ecuador