

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Mathematics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJOM_126950
Title of the Manuscript:	A Modified algorithm to find Longest Common Subsequence and optimized space solution
Type of the Article	Revised

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	LCS is an important topic and an important component in the application of Computer Science and Mathematics. The LCS problem is to find a subsequence which is common to at least two or more given sequences. The largest length subsequence is called as LCS.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Introduction part is less and less literature survey was done	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Author proposed modified algorithm in order to find LCS for string matching problem. It is mainly used for protein and DNA. After that, this algorithm can efficiently be used for improving time and space complexity.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The given references are related to the topic, but they are not recent. Author referred old papers	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Add more literature survey and refer latest papers.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	K. Srinivasa Rao
Department, University & Country	K.S.R.M. College of Engineering, India