

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_128862
Title of the Manuscript:	Management of leaf blight and node blight diseases Indian Barnyard millet (<i>Echinochloa frumentacea</i> (Roxb)
Type of the Article	Research

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Management of leaf blight and node blight diseases Indian Barnyard millet (<i>Echinochloa frumentacea</i> (Roxb) is an important study to manage leaf blight of said millet. Yet, it lacks biomolecular evidence to confirm the pathogen. The conclusion part is missing and References are too less.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Its ok.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	No, it lacks conclusion part, no images are incorporated, and only 5 references are there.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	No, at least 20 references with DOI, wherever available should be there	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	No, it needs revision	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Paper needs revision, if it is to be published. Otherwise, it should be rejected.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohd Ashaq
Department, University & Country	Govt Degree College Thannamandi, India