

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Research Journal of Agriculture</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_128559
Title of the Manuscript:	SOURCES AND LEVELS OF ZINC FERTILIZATION ON SOIL ZINC AVAILABILITY AND ZINC UPTAKE BY SMALL ONION ( <i>Allium cepa</i> L. var. <i>aggregatum</i> )
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<b>Evaluating the response of different fertilizations, especially for vegetables, is something that should always be encouraged. The multiplicity of this topic, in different regions with different climates and soil nutrients, is vital to increase productivity, which is ultimately one of the purposes of science.</b>	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	<b>Yes, the article title is appropriate</b>	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<b>The article summary is satisfactory</b>	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	<b>The article is not very scientific, the authors should use inferential statistics to make the results presented more robust.</b>	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	<b>There are sufficient references, however they are old. Authors should update the bibliography.</b>	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	<b>Yes</b>	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<b>The publication must be accepted after updating the bibliography and using inferential statistics.</b>	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Willames De Albuquerque Soares</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Pernambuco, Brazil</b>