

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_128559
Title of the Manuscript:	SOURCES AND LEVELS OF ZINC FERTILIZATION ON SOIL ZINC AVAILABILITY AND ZINC UPTAKE BY SMALL ONION (<i>Allium cepa</i> L. var. <i>aggregatum</i>)
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<p>Importance Research on the agronomic management of plants, in this case onions, is important to improve the efficiency of crop production.</p> <p>Evaluating various sources and doses of zinc is a good strategy to define the best form and amount of fertilizer to be applied to the crop.</p> <p>Agronomic research on potted crops is a preliminary stage to research on experimental plots.</p>	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, it is.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, it is.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, it is.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	<p>No, the author should incorporate the review of some other sources such as:</p> <p>Factors determining Zn availability and uptake by plants in soils developed under Mediterranean climate. Geoderma Volume 376, 15 October 2020, 114509</p> <p>Some bibliographical citations in the text are not in the References list, such as: Maurya, 2017 Tisdale et al., 1995 Vitosh et al., 1994</p> <p>The author should review the bibliographic citations in the text and ensure that they are in the References list.</p>	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, it is.	
Optional/General comments	<p>The author should review and write some relevant chemical and physical characteristics of the different Zn sources used in the experiment, such as solubility and fineness.</p> <p>In the Methodology section, the author should clearly state whether the number of seedlings per pot was 2 or 4, because he mentions both numbers in different paragraphs.</p> <p>Also, the soil sampling method is not sufficiently clear.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Juan Pulido-Secundino
Department, University & Country	Centro Regional Universitario Centro-Occidente Universidad Autónoma Chapingo, México