

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_128351
Title of the Manuscript:	Age Assessment of Asylum seekers: Legal Issues Referring to Personal Data Protection
Type of the Article	Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

[Review Form 3](#)

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript is highly relevant to the scientific community as it addresses a critical intersection between legal frameworks, ethical considerations, and the protection of vulnerable individuals, particularly unaccompanied minors seeking asylum. By exploring the complex issues surrounding age assessments in the context of personal data protection, the article contributes to ongoing debates on how to balance legal compliance with the rights and well-being of asylum seekers. The proposed recommendations and the innovative database system offer practical solutions to enhance the accuracy and transparency of age assessments while safeguarding personal data. This research fills an important gap in the literature, offering a comprehensive approach to improving both the ethical and legal dimensions of asylum procedures in the European Union.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>The title is clear but could be more concise and specific to emphasize the central legal concern. A more focused and refined title could better capture the intersection of age assessment, asylum seekers, and personal data protection. Here is alternative title: "Legal Challenges in Age Assessment of Asylum Seekers: Implications for Personal Data Protection"</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract you provided is comprehensive. However, there are a few points that could be added or clarified for improved clarity and coherence:</p> <p>Clarify the Problem or Research Gap: While the abstract highlights the failure of the GDPR to achieve uniform data protection, it would be beneficial to more explicitly mention the specific research gap or the problem the article addresses, especially regarding age assessment of asylum seekers. This could help readers understand the importance of the study within the broader context.</p> <p>Clarify Methodology: You mention a variety of methodological tools (international references, expert interviews, visits to NGOs), but it would be useful to briefly explain how these methods contribute to the findings. For instance, you could highlight how expert interviews or NGO visits informed your recommendations.</p> <p>Strengthen the Link between Data Protection and Age Assessment: The abstract mentions personal data protection but doesn't fully tie this back to the practical implications of age assessments. The legal challenges posed by age assessments in terms of privacy could be more explicitly discussed, particularly in relation to the GDPR.</p> <p>Provide a More Specific Conclusion: The abstract mentions the development of an innovative database system under the EU's auspices but could explain more clearly how this system would improve the age assessment process or data protection practices.</p>	
<p>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</p>	<p>The manuscript is scientifically sound, addressing age assessments for unaccompanied minors, a crucial issue in law, data protection, and humanitarian studies. It discusses GDPR's relevance and ethical issues, while proposing practical recommendations. However, a detailed review is needed to assess its scientific accuracy, especially regarding database system implementation and ethical standards.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>The manuscript provides a comprehensive overview of age assessment, legal frameworks, and protection of unaccompanied minors in migration contexts, incorporating reputable sources from law, ethics, and forensic sciences, providing depth and credibility. The manuscript, primarily from 2023-2024, could benefit from updating references to include more recent studies, particularly in emerging areas like digital age assessment and migration law, and incorporating recent technological advancements in data protection and case law on unaccompanied minors' rights.</p>	

Review Form 3

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language quality of the article appears to be suitable for scholarly communications, with clear and professional language that conveys complex ideas effectively. The writing is formal, appropriately structured, and aligns with the conventions of academic discourse.	
Optional/General comments	Here are the typical names of research paper sections in legal studies: It would be good to follow the below guideline for your paper <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Abstract2. Keywords3. Introduction4. Literature Review5. Methodology6. Findings7. Analysis/Discussion8. Conclusion9. Recommendations10. References/Bibliography	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Imranullah Akhtar
Department, University & Country	Alfalah University, Afghanistan