

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_128272
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATING MWEDO AND TEMBO INTERVENTION PROJECTS TO MITIGATE GIRLS' DROPOUT IN SECONDARY SCHOOLS WITHIN PASTORALIST COMMUNITIES: A CASE STUDY OF ARUSHA REGION, TANZANIA.
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The manuscript is significant for the scientific community as it provides valuable insights into the challenges of girls' education within pastoralist communities, a demographic often underrepresented in educational research. By evaluating the MWEDO and TEMBO intervention projects in Arusha, Tanzania, the study may offer evidence-based strategies for mitigating school dropout rates, addressing gender disparities, and promoting educational equity in marginalized regions. The findings may contribute to a deeper understanding of cultural, social, and economic barriers to education, informing policymakers and stakeholders on scalable and culturally sensitive interventions.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>ANALYSIS OF MWEDO AND TEMBO INTERVENTION PROJECTS TO MITIGATE GIRLS' DROPOUT IN SECONDARY SCHOOLS WITHIN PASTORALIST COMMUNITIES: A CASE STUDY OF ARUSHA REGION, TANZANIA.</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ❖ The words like this...may be changed as "The". ❖ Research approach should be more clear. Either it should be quantitative, qualitative or mixed-method research. Then research design should be accordingly. ❖ Population should be included. Sampling technique should be clear. If it is more than one, then it should base on multi-stage sampling technique. ❖ Research tools should be more clear. ❖ Key words should be more concrete ❖ Grammatical mistakes should be addressed 	
<p>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</p>		
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>(UNESCO, 2019), (TAMWA, 2016), (PENHA, 2016). These are much repeating citation. It should be addressed. References are old. Latest references may be added from goggle scholar</p>	
<p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>English language of article may be more improved for scholarly communication</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ❖ Introduction section and background of problem should be concise with clear research gap. ❖ Research objectives are missing ❖ Overview in review of literature should be more comprehensive ❖ Other headings must be under challenges ❖ Then national and international studies may be included in review of literature ❖ Research approach should be clear ❖ Then research design should be based on research approach ❖ Population and sampling are over lapping each other. Content should be under the relevant heading. Then sampling technique. Content are repeating under these headings... ❖ Demographic information. Table repeating ❖ Data analysis techniques are missing ❖ Analysis should be more comprehensive ❖ Discussion section should be based on the comparison of present findings with previous findings ❖ Implications.... suggestions.... recommendations.... should be more clear under one heading ❖ Validity should be included with statistical result like alpha value ❖ References should be in APA style 	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muhammad Imran Shahid
Department, University & Country	University of Okara, Pakistan