

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Research Journal of Arts &amp; Social Sciences</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_128272
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATING MWEDO AND TEMBO INTERVENTION PROJECTS TO MITIGATE GIRLS' DROPOUT IN SECONDARY SCHOOLS WITHIN PASTORALIST COMMUNITIES: A CASE STUDY OF ARUSHA REGION, TANZANIA.
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript sheds light on the role different government and non-governmental organizations can play in the universality of education across gender. It also highlights different reasons for the gap between the enrolment of boys and girls in school. The manuscript had shown the effect of parents' economic status on the enrolment of girl child in school and how this could be remedied	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	yes	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is scientifically correct. The assertions made are in tandem with facts.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Overall the references are sufficient and recent as majority of the references are less than 10 years	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Adelakun, Tosin Isaac
Department, University & Country	Federal College of Education (Technical), Nigeria