

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_128567
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of Foliar Fertilizer Spraying on Productivity and Economics of Greengram (<i>Vigna radiata</i> L.) grown in Rice Fallow Condition
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The importance of this research comes from a set of factors, the most important of which is the use of a plant with good economic value that contributes to achieving food security, in addition to the use of important factors to improve production and utilize land in a good and economical manner.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes, the article is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	We have pointed out some of the notes that were recorded in the scientific article, including that there is no need to write symbols with writing the factors studied.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct and well written, with good scientific explanations of the results.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, most of the references are recent, except for two very old reference that is preferable to change. The notes have been recorded in the text of the scientific article.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the article is written in English in a very good way.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	There are only simple notes recorded in the text of the research and it is necessary to take them into account.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sabah Gazi Shareef Bajlan
Department, University & Country	University of Kerbala, Iraq.

Review Form 3