

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_120474
Title of the Manuscript:	Agronomic Performance of Wheat Varieties in Relation to Sowing Dates in Shivalik Range of Uttarakhand
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This work deals with Agronomic Performance of Wheat Varieties in Relation to Sowing Dates by measure some parameter such as , leaf area index (LAI), net assimilation rate (NAR), crop growth rate (CGR), relative growth rate (RGR), and yield components. The authors found that, highlighted significant differences in growth and yield attributes among sowing dates and cultivars.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Need some addition as conclusion	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The materials and methods need some detailed of measurements and equations of paramters	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Varieties change to cultivars, recent references are necessary and write conclusion .	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	No.	
Minor REVISION comments Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
Optional/General comments	I saw that the illustration of data are nessary	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kotb Amer Farghali Omran
Department, University & Country	Egypt