

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRS_129174
Title of the Manuscript:	Study of adult male circumcision with circular stapler
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<p>The issues and subject are interesting and informative. This is a academic paper so it need to explain and explore negative and positive parts of the practice (incl the role of money and its benefits within the medical misinformation/ lack of transparent information) as well different points of views which are discussed in other articles and field. The article needs to add some Ref to its main argument and also discusses the opposite side's viewpoints. Please see the list of below Ref that you would need to add and bring on in your paper.</p> <p>REF (book):</p> <p>https://avayebuf.com/wp-content/uploads/2023/08/blade-of-tradition-final_avayebuf.pdf</p> <p>REF (article):</p> <p>https://wjpsonline.com/images/e3b59d3f26fd47a3dee028d112fc509f.pdf</p> <p>https://www.russianlawjournal.org/index.php/journal/article/view/2279</p>	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	As above	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes- as above	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	As above	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kameel Ahmady
Department, University & Country	Kent University, Uk